

International Journal of Scientific Research in Dental and Medical Sciences





Evaluate the Prognostic Value of Non–Small-Cell Lung Cancer Using Blood-Based Circulating Tumor DNA: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Negar Eghbalifard ^a, Fatemeh Rabiee ^b, Yasaman Shariati ^{c,*}

^a Faculty of Medicine, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

^b Department of Pharmacology and Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

^c Helia Clinic, Dubai, United Arab Emirates

ARTICLEINFO

Article history: Received 08 February 2024 Received in revised form 10 March 2024 Accepted 14 March 2024 Available online 16 March 2024

Keywords: Carcinoma Circulating Tumor DNA Lung Neoplasms Treatment Outcome

ABSTRACT

Background and objectives: This research aimed to evaluate the prognostic value(s) of non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) through blood-based circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA).

Material and methods: To achieve the objectives of the study, databases at the international scale, including Web of Science, PubMed, Science Direct, Wiley, Scopus, EBSCO, Web of Knowledge, ISI, Embase, Google Scholar, and Elsevier, were searched according to PRISMA 2020-27-item checklist and respective keywords from 2019 to February 2024. Moreover, the inverse–variance method and the fixed-effect model were applied in the research. In addition, we used STATA/MP v17 for statistical analyses of the data (Sig, < 0.05).

Results: Based on the search, 11 articles were chosen, considering the inclusion criteria intended for the research. The analysis demonstrated that cases suffering from higher levels of ctDNA exhibited greater levels of risk for progression-free survival and overall survival (HR 3.47, 95% CI 2.98-3.97; P-value < 0.001) and (HR 3.16, 95% CI 2.45-3.87, P-value < 0.001) than that of the cases suffering from lower levels of ctDNA.

Conclusions: Positive ctDNA was found to be related to overall survival and progression-free survival individually and collectively in non-small-cell Lung Cancer cases.

1. Introduction

The lung is one of the most important parts of the respiratory system, and one of its most important functions is to separate oxygen from the air and transfer it to the circulatory system.^[1] Lung cancer is the most common disease of the pulmonary system. It originates from the uncontrolled growth of cells in this tissue, and the possibility of its spread to other tissues follows.^[2] This cancer is divided into two categories: small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) and non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). If lung cancer is not treated, cell growth can spread outside the lung in a process called metastasis and spread to surrounding tissues or other body organs.^[3] According to statistics, the incidence of lung cancer is increasing worldwide by 12% per year.^[4] This amount is considered a rapid growth for a disease.^[5] In order to diagnose lung cancer, things like basic examinations and non-invasive imaging methods such as CT scans and MRIs are used.^[6] Identifying prognostic factors for patients with NSCLC is very important.^[7]

During the last few years, circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) has been evaluated as a prognostic indicator of recurrence and mortality in cancers. Studies have shown that circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) can analyze individual genetic changes by fully identifying target genes. The detection of ctDNA in hematological cancers has been widely investigated and proven; however, its detection in solid tumors is controversial and challenging.^[8] The findings of studies have shown that ctDNA can be effective in the prognosis of solid tumors (lung cancer, breast cancer, pancreatic cancer).^[9-12] Rapid diagnosis of patients with NSCLC can help identify the higher risk of recurrence and mortality so that doctors can choose more appropriate treatment approaches. However, although ctDNA can predict patient survival, this field has many challenges. Therefore, the present study aimed to evaluate the prognostic value of Non-small-cell lung Cancer Using Blood-Based Circulating Tumor DNA.

2. Material and methods

Search strategy and Information sources

Searching international databases PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus, Science Direct, Web of Knowledge, EBSCO, Wiley, ISI, Elsevier, Embase databases, and Google Scholar search engine based on PRISMA 2020-27item checklist^[13] and keywords Related to the objectives of the study, it was



carried out from 2019 to February 2024. The keywords were standardized in MeSH and used for searching. In addition, the reference list of the selected articles was screened to find relevant studies. The search strategy was (((("Lung Neoplasms"[Mesh]) OR ("Lung Neoplasms/diagnosis"[Mesh]) OR "Lung Neoplasms/mortality"[Mesh] OR "Lung Neoplasms/prevention and control"[Mesh] OR "Lung Neoplasms/surgery"[Mesh] OR "Lung Neoplasms/therapy"[Mesh])) OR "Carcinoma, Non-Small-Cell Lung/(iagnosis"[Mesh]) OR ("Carcinoma, Non-Small-Cell Lung/diagnosis"[Mesh] OR "Carcinoma, Non-Small-Cell Lung/prevention and control"[Mesh] OR "Carcinoma, Non-Small-Cell Lung/surgery"[Mesh] OR "Mortality"[Mesh]).

First, a list of titles and abstracts of all articles searched in the databases under review was prepared. This work was done independently by two researchers. The articles with duplicate titles were removed. Next, the abstracts of the articles were checked to find suitable studies. All of the studies that were searched were saved in EndNote. The software performed X8 software and the rest of the steps.

Study selection criteria

The inclusion criteria for the studies were randomized control trial studies, cohort studies, observational studies, cross-sectional studies, NSCLC patients, measurement of ctDNA, and overall survival reports published in English. The exclusion criteria were chosen: irrelevant in terms of study design and research topic, studies that did not contain enough information, low-quality studies, studies with incomplete data, case series studies, Review studies, case reports, letters to the editor, and conferences.

Selection and data collection process

Two researchers independently extracted data from the articles using a standard data collection form prepared in advance to reduce reporting bias and errors in data collection. The study team first designed this form. It included the following items: author name, study title, year of publication, type of study, number of patients, blood samples, and follow-up period.

Article quality assessment

The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) evaluates the quality of cohort, observational, and case-control studies.^[14] The NOS has a maximum of 9 grades, classified into 3 criteria. Any study with scores equal to or higher than 7 is considered high quality, 2 to 6 is average quality, and equal to and less than 1 is poor quality.

The Cochrane risk-of-bias tool for randomized trials (RoB 2) is recommended for assessing the risk of bias in randomized trials.^[15] Bias is assessed as a judgment (high, low, or unclear) for individual elements from five domains (selection, performance, attrition, reporting, and others).

Meta-analysis

The odds and hazard ratios with a 95% confidence interval (CI) were used. The I2 statistic, used to measure inconsistency, was used to analyze the

degree of variation across studies (heterogeneity). Low levels of heterogeneity were defined as I^2 =25–49%, moderate levels as I^2 =50–74%, and high levels as I^2 =75–100%.^[16] A model with fixed effect and Inverse–variance was used. All statistical analyses are done using STATA/MP software. v17 was done considering the significance of less than 0.05.

3. Results

Study selection

In the first stage of the search, 531 articles were found. After reviewing the titles of the articles, 87 duplicate and overlapping articles were removed. The abstracts of 422 possible related articles were reviewed, and 384 unrelated articles were identified and eliminated. The full text of the remaining 38 articles was reviewed, and finally, eleven suitable articles were selected to enter the meta-analysis stage (Fig. 1).

Study characteristics

1295 NSCLC patients were examined. ctDNA method and other characteristics are reported in Table 1.

Quality assessment

Based on the quality measurement tools, all the selected studies were of high quality; all had 7-8/9 scores (Table 2).



Fig. 1. PRISMA 2020 Checklist.

Table 1. The characteristics of the selected articles according to the purpose of the study.									
No.	Study, Years	Number of NSCLC patients	Number of blood samples	Follow-up (years)	ctDNA method	Outcome			
1	Wang et al., 2024 ^[17]	269	269		NGS	Patient progression-free survival, overall survival			
2	Pan et al., 2023 ^[18]	139	761	24	NGS	Patient progression-free survival			
3	Peng et al., 2023 ^[19]	160	160		NGS	Patient progression-free survival			
4	Zhang et al., 2022 ^[20]	261	913	1.7	NGS	Patient progression-free survival			
5	Chen et al., 2022 ^[21]	81		5	NGS	Patient progression-free survival, overall survival			
6	Provencio et al., 2022 ^[22]	41	41	3.1	NGS	Patient progression-free survival, overall survival			
7	Yue et al., 2022 ^[23]	22	22	1.4	NGS	Patient progression-free survival			
8	Li et al., 2022 ^[24]	119	119	2.5	NGS	Patient progression-free survival, overall survival			
9	Gale et al., 2022 ^[25]	69	69	1.5	NGS	Patient progression-free survival, overall survival			
10	Tan et al., 2021 ^[26]	57	57	2.7	NGS, multiplex- PCR NGS,	Patient progression-free survival			
11	Peng et al., 2020 ^[27]	77	77	3.6	multiplex- PCR	Overall survival			

Table 2. Risk assessment of bias in cohort studies.

		Comparability		Outcome					
Study, years	Selection Representativeness of the Ascertainment of the exposed non- of exposure cohort exposed cohort		Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at the study Comparability of cohorts based on the design or analysis		Assessment of outcome	Was follow-up Adequacy ent long of follow- me enough for up of outcomes cohorts to occur?			
Wang et al., 2024 ^[17]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
Pan et al., 2023 ^[18]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
Peng et al., 2023 ^[19]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
Zhang et al., 2022 ^[20]	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	7
Chen et al., 2022 ^[21]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
Provencio et al., 2022 ^[22]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
Yue et al., 2022 ^[23]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
Li et al., 2022 ^[24]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
Gale et al., 2022 ^[25]	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	7
Tan et al., 2021 ^[26]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
Peng et al., 2020 ^[27]	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8

Compared with patients with low-level ctDNA, those with high-level ctDNA had a higher risk for overall survival (HR 3.16, 95% CI 2.45-3.87; P-value < 0.001) (Fig. 2). The heterogeneity test showed that Q = 17.78, p-value<0.01, $I^2 = 77.5\%$, which denotes a high heterogeneity among studies.

Compared with patients with low-level ctDNA, those with high-level ctDNA had a higher risk for progression-free survival (HR 3.47, 95% CI 2.98-3.97; P-value < 0.001) (Fig. 3). The heterogeneity test showed that Q = 19.60, p-value=0.02, $I^2 = 54.08\%$, which denotes a moderate heterogeneity among studies.



Fixed-effects inverse-variance model

Fig. 2. The forest plot showed ctDNA level with patient overall survival.

Study					Hazar with 9	Hazard ratio with 95% CI		
Wang et al., 2024					4.10 [2.	53, 5.67]	9.92	
Pan et al., 2023					3.30 [1.1	73, 4.87]	9.92	
Peng et al., 2023		-			3.80 [2.	23, 5.37]	9.92	
Zhang et al., 2022	—		_		1.33 [-0.4	43, 3.09]	7.84	
Chen et al., 2022	-		—		2.79 [1.	03, 4.55]	7.84	
Provencio et al., 2022					3.85 [2.	09, 5.61]	7.84	
Yue et al., 2022				 	4.85 [3.4	48, 6.22]	12.96	
Li et al., 2022					3.03 [1.	66, 4.40]	12.96	
Gale et al., 2022	-				2.22 [0.	85, 3.59]	12.96	
Tan et al., 2021				-	- 5.46 [3.	70, 7.22]	7.84	
Overall			•		3.47 [2.1	98, 3.97]		
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 54.08\%$, $H^2 = 2.18$								
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$: Q(9) = 19.60, p = 0.02								
Test of θ = 0: z = 13.78, p = 0.00								
	0	2	4	6	8			

Fixed-effects inverse-variance model

Fig. 3. The forest plot showed ctDNA level with patient progression-free survival.

4. Discussion

Studies have shown that high levels of ctDNA can be considered an important indicator in identifying cancer recurrence and mortality.^[28] However, evidence has shown that the ctDNA status is not positive after surgery in some patients, which can reduce the detection rate of ctDNA after surgery. Therefore, the sensitivity of ctDNA before surgery can be higher than ctDNA after surgery.^[29] It has also been shown that preoperative ctDNA in patients with NSCLC leads to better results than postoperative ctDNA.^[24] According to the present meta-analysis, preoperative ctDNA detection directly correlates with the risk of recurrence and survival in patients with NSCLC. A study showed that monitoring ctDNA changes before treatment in advanced NSCLC patients undergoing treatment accurately predicts tumor response and progression-free survival.^[21] Therefore, all this evidence shows the importance of comprehensively identifying independent prognostic factors and individually assessing patients' prognoses for each physician. The association between increased ctDNA levels and death rate may be related to tumor burden or comorbidities. This study had limitations: firstly, very few studies with a small sample size met the inclusion criteria and were selected. Secondly, the period of the studies was different; thirdly, high and moderate heterogeneity was observed, which should be interpreted with caution. Be interpreted, and the reason can be the variety of ctDNA analysis methods used in the studies.

5. Conclusion

The present meta-analysis showed that high levels of ctDNA are directly related to progression-free survival and death in patients with NSCLC. Elevating ctDNA levels in NSCLC patients can be used as a diagnostic method, along with other methods, such as imaging, to evaluate efficacy.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declared that there is no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

- Wheeldon A. The respiratory system and associated disorders. British Journal of Nursing. 2023;32(13):613-9. https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2023.32.13.613.
- [2] Gomes M, Teixeira AL, Coelho A, Araujo A, Medeiros R. The role of inflammation in lung cancer. Inflammation and cancer. 2014:1-23. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-0837-8_1.
- [3] Virno F, Di Giorgio A, Di Lauro G, Bellezza F, Carrozzini AI. Small cell lung cancer (SCLC) and non small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): Comparative evaluation of survival after surgical treatment by computer. Lung Cancer. 1986;2:105-6.
- [4] Siegel RL, Giaquinto AN, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2024. CA Cancer J Clin. 2024;74(1):12-49. https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21820.
- [5] Torre LA, Siegel RL, Jemal A. Lung cancer statistics. Lung cancer and personalized medicine: current knowledge and therapies. 2016:893:1-9. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-24223-1_1.
- [6] Thanoon MA, Zulkifley MA, Mohd Zainuri MA, Abdani SR. A Review of Deep Learning Techniques for Lung Cancer Screening and Diagnosis Based on CT Images. Diagnostics. 2023;13(16):2617. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics13162617.

- [7] Wang PP, Liu SH, Chen CT, Lv L, Li D, Liu QY, et al. Circulating tumor cells as a new predictive and prognostic factor in patients with small cell lung cancer. Journal of Cancer. 2020;11(8):2113-22. https://doi.org/10.7150/jca.35308.
- [8] Peng Y, Mei W, Ma K, Zeng C. Circulating tumor DNA and minimal residual disease (MRD) in solid tumors: current horizons and future perspectives. Frontiers in oncology. 2021;11:763790. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.763790.
- [9] Alba-Bernal A, Lavado-Valenzuela R, Domínguez-Recio ME, Jiménez-Rodriguez B, Queipo-Ortuño MI, Alba E, et al. Challenges and achievements of liquid biopsy technologies employed in early breast cancer. EBioMedicine. 2020;62:103100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2020.103100.
- [10] Papakonstantinou A, Gonzalez NS, Pimentel I, Suñol A, Zamora E, Ortiz C, et al. Prognostic value of ctDNA detection in patients with early breast cancer undergoing neoadjuvant therapy: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Cancer Treatment Reviews. 2022;104:102362. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2022.102362.
- [11] Cullinane C, Fleming C, O'Leary DP, Hassan F, Kelly L, O'Sullivan MJ, et al. Association of circulating tumor DNA with disease-free survival in breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA network open. 2020;3(11):e2026921. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.26921.
- [12] Gögenur M, Hadi NA, Qvortrup C, Andersen CL, Gögenur I. ctDNA for risk of recurrence assessment in patients treated with neoadjuvant treatment: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Annals of Surgical Oncology. 2022;29(13):8666-74. https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-022-12366-7.
- [13] Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. Bmj. 2021;372(71). https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71.
- [14] Gierisch JM, Beadles C, Shapiro A, McDuffie J, Cunningham N, Bradford D. Newcastle-Ottawa scale coding manual for cohort studies. Health disparities in quality indicators of healthcare among adults with mental illness. Department of Veterans Affairs (US). 2014.
- [15] Minozzi S, Cinquini M, Gianola S, Gonzalez-Lorenzo M, Banzi R. The revised Cochrane risk of bias tool for randomized trials (RoB 2) showed low interrater reliability and challenges in its application. Journal of clinical epidemiology. 2020;126:37-44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2020.06.015.
- [16] Sokouti M, Shafiee-Kandjani AR, Sokouti M, Sokouti B. A metaanalysis of systematic reviews and meta-analyses to evaluate the psychological consequences of COVID-19. BMC psychology. 2023;11(1):279. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-023-01313-0.
- [17] Wang HY, Ho CC, Lin YT, Liao WY, Chen CY, Shih JY, et al. Comprehensive Genomic Analysis of Patients With Non–Small-Cell Lung Cancer Using Blood-Based Circulating Tumor DNA Assay: Findings From the BFAST Database of a Single Center in Taiwan. JCO Precision Oncology. 2024;8:e2300314. https://doi.org/10.1200/PO.23.00314.
- [18] Pan Y, Zhang JT, Gao X, Chen ZY, Yan B, Tan PX, et al. Dynamic circulating tumor DNA during chemoradiotherapy predicts clinical outcomes for locally advanced non-small cell lung cancer patients. Cancer Cell. 2023;41(10):1763-73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2023.09.007.
- [19] Peng WW, Liu Y, Sha HH, Wen SD, Fang Y, Zhou GR. Relationship between plasma circulating cell-free DNA concentration and treatment

outcomes including prognosis in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer. BMC Pulmonary Medicine. 2023;23(1):348. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12890-023-02586-2.

- [20] Zhang JT, Liu SY, Gao W, Liu SY, Yan HH, Ji L, et al. Longitudinal undetectable molecular residual disease defines potentially cured population in localized non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Discovery. 2022;12(7):1690-701. https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-21-1486.
- [21] Chen K, Yang A, Carbone DP, Kanu N, Liu K, Wang R, et al. Spatiotemporal genomic analysis reveals distinct molecular features in recurrent stage I non-small cell lung cancers. Cell Reports. 2022;40(2). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2022.111047.
- [22] Provencio M, Serna-Blasco R, Nadal E, Insa A, García-Campelo MR, Rubio JC, et al. Overall survival and biomarker analysis of neoadjuvant nivolumab plus chemotherapy in operable stage IIIA non-small-cell lung cancer (NADIM phase II trial). Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2022;40(25):2924-33. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.21.02660.
- [23] Yue D, Liu W, Chen C, Zhang T, Ma Y, Cui L, et al. Circulating tumor DNA predicts neoadjuvant immunotherapy efficacy and recurrence-free survival in surgical non-small cell lung cancer patients. Translational lung cancer research. 2022;11(2):263-76. https://doi.org/10.21037/tlcr-22-106.
- [24] Li N, Wang BX, Li J, Shao Y, Li MT, Li JJ, et al. Perioperative circulating tumor DNA as a potential prognostic marker for operable stage I to IIIA non–small cell lung cancer. Cancer. 2022;128(4):708-18. https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.33985.
- [25] Gale D, Heider K, Ruiz-Valdepenas A, Hackinger S, Perry M, Marsico G, et al. Residual ctDNA after treatment predicts early relapse in patients

with early-stage non-small cell lung cancer. Annals of oncology. 2022;33(5):500-10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2022.02.007.

- [26] Tan A, Lai G, Saw S, Chua K, Takano A, Ong B, et al. MA07. 06 circulating tumor DNA for monitoring minimal residual disease and early detection of recurrence in early stage lung cancer. Journal of Thoracic Oncology. 2021;16(10):S907. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtho.2021.08.144.
- [27] Peng M, Huang Q, Yin W, Tan S, Chen C, Liu W, et al. Circulating tumor DNA as a prognostic biomarker in localized non-small cell lung cancer.
 Frontiers in oncology. 2020;10:561598. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.561598.
- [28] Faulkner LG, Howells LM, Pepper C, Shaw JA, Thomas AL. The utility of ctDNA in detecting minimal residual disease following curative surgery in colorectal cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. British journal of cancer. 2023;128(2):297-309. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41416-022-02017-9.
- [29] Guo N, Lou F, Ma Y, Li J, Yang B, Chen W, et al. Circulating tumor DNA detection in lung cancer patients before and after surgery. Scientific reports. 2016;6(1):33519. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep33519.

How to Cite this Article: Eghbalifard N, Rabiee F, Yasaman Shariati Y. Evaluate the Prognostic Value of Non–Small-Cell Lung Cancer Using Blood-Based Circulating Tumor DNA: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. International Journal of Scientific Research in Dental and Medical Sciences. 2024;6(1):34-39. https://doi.org/10.30485/IJSRDMS.2024.447142.1566.